



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/578,693	5/26/00	Yamanouchi	9841

EXAMINER
Wisa Cook

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1641	02162005

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Krish Rupert (45,702) WR (3) Long Le (Spe)  
(2) Wisa Cook (examiner) (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: \_\_\_\_\_

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Televideo Conference ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 2,4,6,9,14-19 and 21-24

Identification of prior art discussed: Final Action, mailed 1/12/05.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant argues that the primary combination of Gorski, Yaatman and Simon do not teach the instant invention. Specifically no motivation to combine, L-fabp and h-fabp are not functional equivalence, + hindsight. Applicant advised to submit supporting references + affidavit for further consideration. Yaatman were speculation with respect to L-FABP in kidney disease.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

Wisa Cook  
2/16/05.